Dynamics of Soil Moisture under Different Water Levels and Various Dosages of Organic Fertilizer
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ABSTRACT

The study aimed to determine the dynamics of soil moisture in various standing water levels and dosages of organic fertilizer. The experiment was arranged in a Completely Randomized Block Design (CRBD) with a $3 \times 3$ factorial treatment in 3 replicates. The first factor was 3 different water levels, namely 10 cm (T1), 20 cm (T2), and 30 cm (T3). The second factor was 3 levels of dosages of organic fertilizer, namely 0 g pot$^{-1}$ (P1), 35 g pot$^{-1}$ (P2), and 70 g pot$^{-1}$ (P3). The highest of soil moisture was obtained in the 10 cm water levels and 70 g organic fertilizer (T1P3) and the lowest in the 30 cm water levels and without organic fertilizer (T3P1). The highest infiltration rate was obtained in the 30 cm water levels and 70 g pot$^{-1}$ organic fertilizer (T3P3), the 30 cm water levels and 35 g pot$^{-1}$ organic fertilizer (T3P2), the 20 cm water levels and 70 g pot$^{-1}$ organic fertilizer (T2P3), and the lowest in the combination of the 10 cm water levels and without organic fertilizer (T1P1). The highest capillary rate was obtained in the combination of the 30 cm water levels and 70 g organic fertilizer (T3P3) and the lowest in the combination of the 30 cm water levels and without organic fertilizer (T3P1).
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INTRODUCTION

A steady source of water for developing and growing of plants is needed since plants need high water, so that water is so important for plant growth. If the amount of groundwater is less, the plant growth will be restricted due to water is a staple of photosynthesis and the transportation of plant nutrients (Gardner et al. 1991, Salisbury and Ross 1995; Utomo et al. 2016). The movement of water comes from a place that has a lot of humidity towards a place that has less soil moisture (Aisdak 2010). In certain soil volumes, there is movement of water...
through the soil pore space caused by gravitational and capillary forces. If soil moisture is optimum for plant growth, a large amount of water in the intermediate-sized pore space in the soil can move and can be used by plants. The ability of plants to obtain water is determined by several things, including the ability of plant roots to absorb, the use of water in contact, and the speed of groundwater moves to replace the water used by plants (Rassyid et al. 2010; Nio and Torey 2013). According to Fauziah et al. (2015), the provision of water by irrigation has a better effect than conventional methods. Efficient irrigation must be provided to maintain growth for plants that have shallow root systems, this is because these plants are very vulnerable to loss of water from the topsoil (Patel and Rajput 2013). Excessive fertilization for a long time, instead of improving soil structure and fertility, will cause severe soil degradations, which are characterized by high acidity, low nutrition, and disturbed ecosystem balance. An alternative step to overcome the crisis of incentive agriculture is an organic farming system (Dong et al. 2012; Parwi et al. 2019).

Various ways can be done to build an organic farming system, one of which by using organic fertilizers. In soil management, one of the most important materials is organic matter. The presence of organic matter is also one of the parameters in determining the class of land productivity. Organic matters affect soil aggregation, water infiltration, water content, drainage, watering, temperature, microbial activity, and root penetration which are very suitable for plant growth. Organic matters can play a role, among others: firstly, carbon sources for microorganisms; secondly, together with microorganisms form the soil structure so that it can form a stable soil aggregate; thirdly, increase water holding capacity; fourthly, increase CEC and reduce pH; fifthly, nutrients N, P, and S are available for plants; and finally, reduce the danger of poisoning with metals or compounds that are toxic to living bodies (Zhen et al. 2014; Hasibuan 2015; Utomo et al. 2016). The activity of soil organisms can be increased by adding organic matter to the soil and in turn, soil fertility can be improved and maintained (Subowo 2010).

Organic matters provide many benefits to the soil because it can provide nutrients through mineralization, increase cation exchange capacity, water retention capacity and improve soil physical characteristics, reduce soil erosion and water leaching (Dhaker et al. 2017; Sunarya and Sumarsih 2017). According to Zhou et al. (2013), there are several direct effects of organic matter on plants, namely the presence of free carbon dioxide is beneficial in photosynthesis, the presence of some chemical compounds that can stimulate plant growth, and the production of amino acids which can be immediately absorbed by the roots.

Organic matters can improve the physical, biological and chemical conditions of soil as a growing medium. It can improve soil aeration and soil moisture, provide soil nutrients, and a source of energy for microorganisms. The biggest role of organic matter is in soil physical properties. Soil that contains sufficient organic matter will have a relatively better soil condition so that there will be no significant difficulties in soil cultivation. An increase in soil porosity is another effect of organic matter on soil physical properties. The part of the land that is not filled with solid soil but is filled with air and water affect the porosity of the soil. Micro pores are known as capillary pores, mesopores are known as slow drainage pores and macropores are known as fast drainage pores. The ability of soil to hold water can be increased by adding organic matter, so that water for plant growth is available, this is because the soil can provide water (Suntoro 2003; Liu et al. 2013).

This research aimed to study the effect of various water surface height and dosage of organic fertilizers on soil moisture, infiltration rate, and capillary rate. As a study for the cultivation of shallots in areas that generally use raised beds with a certain depth of trench.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Research Site and Design

The research was conducted in the field and laboratory of the Faculty of Agriculture, Swadaya Gunung Jati University, Cirebon, West Java, Indonesia.

This study used a completely randomized block design (CRBD) which was arranged factorial. The first factor was the inundation water surface which consists of three (3) levels, namely T1 = 10 cm from the bottle surface, T2 = 20 cm from the bottle surface and T3 = 30 cm from the bottle surface. While, the second factor was the dosage of organic fertilizer which consisted of three (3) levels, namely P1 = 0 g, P2 = 35 g, and P3 = 70 g. Thus there were 9 treatment combinations, and each treatment combination was repeated 3 times so that 27 experimental units were obtained.
Research Implementation and Data Recording

Materials and tools used included soil, organic fertilizer, water, buckets, transparent plastic bottles 40 cm long and 10 cm in diameter. The bottle had eight holes on it from eight sides with a height of 10 cm, 20 cm, and 30 cm from the bottom of the bottle. The bottle was filled with media soil of approximately 4.5 kg and organic fertilizer then put into a bucket that already contained water according to the treatment.

Soil moisture was quantified gravimetrically at a depth of 5 cm. Infiltration rate was determined by pouring a certain volume of water in each trial bottle and noted the time needed, while the capillary rate was determined by inserting a trial bottle that had been perforated according to the treatment into the bucket which already contained water with the depth according to treatment and recorded the time needed for the water to reach the surface of the bottle.

Data Analysis

The data were analyzed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) at the significance level of 5% and continued by post hoc analysis using Least Significant Differences (LSD) test at the significance level of 5%.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the analysis of variance showed that the interaction between the water level and the dose of organic fertilizer significantly affected the soil moisture. Standing water level showed various effects in soil moisture, infiltration rate, and capillary rate. The dose of organic fertilizer also affected soil moisture, infiltration rate, and capillary rate (Table 1).

Soil Moisture

The results of the LSD test at the level of 5% on soil moisture, infiltration rate, and capillary rate

Table 1. Results of analysis of variance of observed variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>T × P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Soil Moisture</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Infiltration Rate</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Capillary Rate</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: ns = not significant, ** = very significant after testing F 1%, T = standing water level, P = the dose of organic fertilizer.

Table 2. Effect of water levels and organic fertilizer dose on the soil moisture, infiltration rate and capillary rate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Soil Moisture (%)</th>
<th>Infiltration Rate (cm hr⁻¹)</th>
<th>Capillary Rate (cm hr⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1P1</td>
<td>24.24 c</td>
<td>10.13 a</td>
<td>6.43 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1P2</td>
<td>26.75 e</td>
<td>11.30 b</td>
<td>6.70 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1P3</td>
<td>32.66 g</td>
<td>11.98 c</td>
<td>6.93 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2P1</td>
<td>23.03 b</td>
<td>11.36 b</td>
<td>6.67 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2P2</td>
<td>25.30 d</td>
<td>11.55 b</td>
<td>7.20 e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2P3</td>
<td>30.93 f</td>
<td>12.75 d</td>
<td>7.20 e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3P1</td>
<td>16.58 a</td>
<td>11.95 c</td>
<td>6.33 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3P2</td>
<td>24.12 c</td>
<td>12.86 d</td>
<td>7.50 f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3P3</td>
<td>26.79 e</td>
<td>12.94 d</td>
<td>7.83 g</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description: T1P1 = Standing Water Level 10 cm + Organic Fertilizer 0 g; T1P2 = Standing water level 10 cm + organic fertilizer 35 g pot⁻¹; T1P3 = Standing water level 10 cm + organic fertilizer 70 g pot⁻¹; T2P1 = Standing water level 20 cm + organic fertilizer 0 g; T2P2 = Standing water level 20 cm + organic fertilizer 35 g pot⁻¹; T2P3 = Standing Water Level 10 cm + organic fertilizer 70 g pot⁻¹; T3P1 = Standing Water Level 30 cm + organic fertilizer 0 g; T3P2 = Standing Water Level 30 cm + Organic Fertilizer 35 g pot⁻¹; T3P3 = Standing Water Level 30 cm + organic fertilizer 70 g pot⁻¹.
as affected by water level and dose of organic fertilizer are presented in Table 2.

Soil moisture by the combination of water level and the dose of organic fertilizer treatment was not significantly different between T1P1 and T3P2 (Table 2). While other treatment combinations differed significantly from one to another. The highest of soil moisture was obtained in the combination of T1P3 and the lowest was in the combination of T3P1. This situation was possible because T1P3 was a treatment combination that had the surface of the bottle (the field) closest to the water source so that the movement of water from the source to the field was relatively faster. Thus at the same time, the humidity level of T1P3 was relatively higher compared to other treatments.

Asdak (2010) said that water would move from moist soil to drier soil. On moist soil, the amount of water percentage was higher and the displacement was faster. Besides, the amount of organic fertilizer with higher doses would also improve the soil structure, so that the T1P3 absorbed more water than any other treatment. This was following Suntoro (2003), that organic matter played a role, among others, on soil structure, consistency, porosity, and holding water. It was also said by Eko (2007) and Sunarya and Suyudi (2016) that the more organic matter content caused the water in the soil to multiply.

Likewise, in a single treatment, both water levels, and organic fertilizer doses. The main effect of standing water level on soil moisture can be seen in Table 3. The effect of treatment (T1, T2, and T3) was significantly different where T1 was the treatment that produced the highest soil moisture followed by T2 and T3. This was probably due to the treatment of the T1 layer in the field or soil surface was closer to the water source compared to the treatment of T2 and T3 so that the treatment had the highest humidity compared to the other treatments at the same time. Craig (1991) said that water would move from moist soil to drier soil. On moist soil, the amount of water percentage was higher and the displacement was faster. The effect of organic fertilizer dose can be seen in Table 4 that each treatment (P1, P2, and P3) was significantly different where P3 was the treatment that produced the highest soil moisture followed by P2 and P1. This was likely because the amount of organic fertilizer with higher doses would affect the soil structure, so that the P3 treatment absorbed water more than other treatments (P2 and P1). This was following Suntoro (2003), that organic matter played a role, among others, on soil structure, consistency, porosity, and binding water capacity. It was also said by Eko (2007) and Sunarya and Suyudi (2016) that, the more organic matter content caused the water in the soil to multiply.

### Infiltration Rate

The results of the LSD test at 5% level on the infiltration rate due to treatment of standing water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Soil Moisture (%</th>
<th>Infiltration Rate (cm hr⁻¹)</th>
<th>Capillary Rate (cm hr⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>27.88 c</td>
<td>11.14 a</td>
<td>6.69 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>26.42 b</td>
<td>11.89 b</td>
<td>7.02 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>22.49 a</td>
<td>12.58 c</td>
<td>7.22 c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description: T1= Standing water level 10 cm; T2= Standing water level 20 cm; T3= Standing water level 30 cm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Soil Moisture (%</th>
<th>Infiltration Rate (cm hr⁻¹)</th>
<th>Capillary Rate (cm hr⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1</td>
<td>21.28 a</td>
<td>11.15 a</td>
<td>6.48 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>25.39 b</td>
<td>11.90 b</td>
<td>7.13 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3</td>
<td>30.13 c</td>
<td>12.56 c</td>
<td>7.32 c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description: P1= Organic Fertilizer 0 g; P2= Organic fertilizer 35 g pot⁻¹; P3 = Organic fertilizer 70 g pot⁻¹.
level and dosage of organic fertilizer are presented in Table 2.

As seen in Table 2, the infiltration rate by the treatment of standing water level and the dose of organic fertilizer was significantly higher at T1P1 than other treatments. While T1P2 treatment was not significantly different from T2P1 and T2P2, but it was significantly different from T1P3, T2P3, T3P1, T3P2, and T3P3. Likewise, T1P3 was not significantly different from T3P1, but it was significantly different from other treatments. T2P3 was not significantly different from T3P2 and T3P3 but was significantly different from other treatments. The highest infiltration rate was obtained as affected by T3P3, T3P2, and T2P3 while the lowest was obtained in T1P1. This was a possibility that T1P1 had a soil condition with the highest relative humidity and a relatively denser soil structure compared to other treatments, so the infiltration rate was relatively lowest compared to other treatments. This was by Utomo et al. (2016), the rate of infiltration was strongly affected by several factors, one of which was soil factors, such as texture, structure, aggregate stability, soil compaction, and soil moisture content. The amount of soil moisture in the upper layer greatly influenced the infiltration rate, so that the greater the soil water content, the less infiltration capacity would be (Hari 2010). Also, Sri Puspita Sari et al. (2012) stated that the denser the soil and the higher the water content in the soil, the faster the infiltration rate of the soil. The highest infiltration rate was obtained from T3P3, this was probably because the treatment formed a relatively low soil moisture condition and relatively more pore numbers compared to other treatments. According to Hari (2010), the amount of soil water content and soil pore rate greatly influenced the infiltration rate, the amount of soil water content would reduce infiltration capacity while the magnitude of the pore number would accelerate the rate of infiltration. Babbu et al. (2015) also stated that the application of fertilizers could affect the physical properties of soil directly or indirectly, such as aggregate stability, water holding capacity, porosity, and infiltration rate.

Likewise, the infiltration rate was every 20 minutes. In Figure 1, the infiltration rate in the treatment of water level with organic fertilizer dose every 20 minutes had decreased. In the first 20 minutes, the infiltration rate was relatively faster (average over 4000 mm day\(^{-1}\)), and as the infiltration rate increased slowly, in the 100th minute the infiltration rate was every 20 minutes.

![Infiltration rate in the treatment of water level and organic fertilizer dose every 20 minutes (mm\ day\(^{-1}\)).](image)

**Figure 1.** Infiltration rate in the treatment of water level and organic fertilizer dose every 20 minutes (mm day\(^{-1}\)).

- : T1P1, : T1P2, : T1P3, : T2P1, : T2P2, : T2P3,
- : T3P1, : T3P2, : T3P3.
infiltration rate was only around 1000 mm day\(^{-1}\). The
highest infiltration rate in T3P3, at the 20th minute,
was as high as 4,790 mm day\(^{-1}\) and in the 100th
minute as high as 1,344 mm day\(^{-1}\). The lowest was
T1P1 in the 20th minute was as high as 4,116 mm
day\(^{-1}\) and in the 100th minute was as high as 823
mm day\(^{-1}\).

On a single effect, the standing water level had
effects on the infiltration rate (Table 3), that each
treatment (T1, T2, and T3) was significantly
different, and T3 was the treatment that produced
the highest infiltration rate followed by T2 and T1.
This was probably because T1 has a relatively higher
humidity level compared to T2 and T3, so the
infiltration rate in the T1 was lower compared to T2
and T3. This condition was by Hari (2010), that the
amount of soil water content and pore number
greatly affected the rate of infiltration, where the
amount of soil water content would reduce infiltration
capacity.

The effect of organic fertilizer dose could be
seen in Table 4, that each treatment (P1, P2, and
P3) was significantly different, and P3 was the
treatment that produced the highest infiltration rate
followed by P2 and P1. This condition was
suspected because the dose of P3 was the highest
compared to P2 and P1 treatments. This was
following Utomo et al. (2016), that organic matter
could affect the physical properties of soil through
improving aggregation. Land with good aggregation
would increase the infiltration rate which could
reduce surface flow and erosion, a good soil aeration
system where gas exchange with the atmosphere
was fast and facilitated penetration of plant roots
in the soil.

Capillary Rate

The results of the LSD at the level of 5% on
the Capillary Rate as affected by the treatment of

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{capillary_rate.png}
\caption{Capillary rate under treatment of standing water level and organic fertilizer dose from hour to hour (mm day\(^{-1}\)).}
\end{figure}
standing water level and dose of organic fertilizer were presented in Table 2.

In Table 2, the capillary rate by the combination treatment of water level and an organic fertilizer dose was not significantly different between T1P2 and T2P1 as well as between T2P2 and T2P3. While the other treatment combinations differed significantly from each other, namely T1P1, T1P2, T1P3, T2P2, T3P1, T3P2, and T3P3. The highest capillary rate was obtained in T3P3 and the lowest in the T3P1. This condition was suspected because T3P3 affected the soil relatively had lower humidity and relatively more pore numbers compared to other treatments. Amrizal (2006) stated that, when groundwater was not saturated, the capillary force on the soil was greater. This was consistent with the statement of Dani and Rath (2000) that, land with a greater capillary rate was soil that had a non-dense structure and many pores.

Likewise, the capillary rate in every one hour (60 minutes). In Figure 2, the capillary rate in the treatment of standing water level with a dose of organic fertilizer every one hour (60 minutes) had decreased rate. At the first hour (60 minutes) the capillary rate was relatively fast, which averaged above 1,500 mm-days and was slower with increasing time. The highest capillary rate was at T3P3 and the lowest one was at T1P1.

As seen in Table 3, the single effect of standing water level on capillary rate was significantly different, and T3 was the treatment that produced the highest capillary rate followed by T2 and T1. Likewise, the effect of a single dose of organic fertilizer on capillary rate could be seen in Table 4, which was significantly different where P3 was a treatment that produced the highest capillary rate followed by P2 and P1. This condition was suspected because the soil moisture in each treatment was different, causing the capillary rate for each treatment to be different. This was following Amrizal (2006), that when optimum soil moisture for plant growth was attained, then a large amount of water in intermediate-sized pore space in the soil could move and could be used by plants.

CONCLUSIONS

The highest of soil moisture was obtained in the T1P3 (10 cm water levels and 70 g organic fertilizer) treatment and the lowest in the T3P1 treatment (30 cm water levels and without organic fertilizer). The highest infiltration rate was obtained in the T3P3 treatment (30 cm water levels and 70 g organic fertilizer) while the lowest was obtained in the T1P1 treatment (10 cm water levels and without organic fertilizer). The highest capillary rate was obtained in the T3P3 treatment combination and the lowest in the T3P1 treatment combination. The single effect of standing water level at soil moisture, T1 (10 cm water levels) was the treatment that produced the highest of soil moisture followed by T2 (20 cm water levels) and T3 (30 cm water levels). At the infiltration rate, T3 was the treatment that produces the highest infiltration rate followed by T2 and T1. And at the capillary rate, T3 was the treatment that produces the highest capillary rate followed by T2 and T1. The single effect of each organic fertilizer dose, P3 (70 g organic fertilizer) was the treatment that produced the highest of soil moisture followed by P2 (35 g organic fertilizer) and P1 (0 g organic fertilizer). For the infiltration rate, P3 was the treatment that produced the highest infiltration rate followed by P2 and P1. The capillary rate of each treatment was significantly different, and P3 was the treatment that produced the highest capillary rate followed by P2 and P1.
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